

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/819,911	03/28/2001	Daniel Crosson	10006946-1	4361	
7590 02/06/2007 HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY			EXAM	EXAMINER VU, THONG H	
Intellectual Pro	Intellectual Property Administration				
P. O. Box 2724 Fort Collins, Co	• • •		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,			2616		
				· ·	
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
3 MO	NTHS	02/06/2007	PAP	PAPER	

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

Office Action Summary    Examiner	YS,			
Thong H. Vu  The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address. Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	YS,			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address.  Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	YS,			
Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	YS,			
<ul> <li>WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.</li> <li>Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.</li> <li>If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.</li> <li>Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).</li> </ul>				
<u>_</u>				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 04 January 2007.				
1/24 1 tooponoiro to communication (o) mod on <u>o i candari i zoor</u> .				
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merit	s is			
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims				
Claim(s) <u>1-53</u> is/are pending in the application.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6) Claim(s) <u>1-53</u> is/are rejected.				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.				
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.12	21(d).			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152	2.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
la a company of the c				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) All b) Some * c) None of:				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.				
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage	!			
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	•			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.				
Attachment(s)				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6)  Other:				

Application/Control Number: 09/819,911 Page 2

Art Unit: 2616

1. Claims 1-53 are pending.

## Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments, see pages 12-15, filed 1/04/07, with respect to Neves, Hericourt-Kidder have been fully considered and are persuasive. The Rejection of 1-53 has been withdrawn. However, the single domain name as a single virtual server manages a group servers or set of server IP addresses has been taught by Lamberton in the following rejection.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-4,6-12,17,19-36,39-53 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Lamberton et al [Lamberton, 6,779,017 B1].

3. As per claim 1, Lamberton discloses a method for Internet protocol (IP) address selection, comprising the steps of:

assigning a single domain name to a set of server IP addresses corresponding to plural servers receiving a request for the domain name from a client IP address [Lamberton, a single DNS name, group of server, col 4 line 64-col 5 line 26];

retrieving a set of IP routes linking the server IP addresses and the client IP address [Lamberton, IP routes between client and server, col 5 lines 1-26];

selecting an IP route from the set of routes which meets predetermined criteria [Lamberton, met the criterion for being elected, col 6 lines 35-40; selected server carrying the actual DNS name as required, col 7 lines 11-27, Fig 4].

- 4. As per claims 2,11 Lamberton discloses retrieving the set of IP routes from a cache database [Lamberton, database, col 1 lines 55-60].
- 5. As per claims 3,12 Lamberton discloses retrieving the set of IP routes from an IP routes database [Lamberton, database, col 1 lines 55-60].
- 6. As per claim 4, Lamberton discloses retrieving the set of IP routes from a set of routers using a BGP protocol as inherent feature of gateway, firewall [Lamberton, col 3 lines 24,49].
- 7. As per claim 6, Lamberton discloses retrieving the set of IP routes from a set of routers using a Telnet protocol as inherent feature of gateway, firewall.
- 8. As per claim 7, Lamberton discloses selecting the IP route from the set which has a shortest AS path (Autonomous System) as inherent feature of gateway, firewall.

Art Unit: 2616

9. As per claim 8, Lamberton discloses selecting the IP route from the set which has a lowest origin type [Lamberton, select the least busy servers, col 6 line 28].

Page 4

- 10. As per claim 9, Lamberton discloses selecting the IP route from the set which has a lowest MED (Multi-Exit-Disc) [Lamberton, select the least busy of the servers, col 6 line 28].
- 11. As per claim 10, Lamberton discloses selecting the IP route from the set equal to a default IP address as a design choice.
- 12. As per claim 14, Lamberton discloses transmitting an IP address from the set of server IP addresses which corresponds to the selected IP route as inherent feature of gateway, firewall.
- 13. As per claim 25 contains the similar limitations set forth in claim 1. Therefore claim 25 is rejected for the same rationale set forth in claim 1.
- 14. As per claim 26, Lamberton discloses a cache database, coupled to the domain name server for storing previously selected IP routes [Lamberton, database, col 1 lines 55-60].

38 50

and the state of the

Art Unit: 2616

15. As per claim 27, Lamberton discloses the IP routes database is for storing all of

Page 5

the IP routes [Lamberton, database, col 1 lines 55-60].

16. As per claim 28, Lamberton discloses a domain name system server includes an

enhanced address resource record storing the single domain name, a list of the servers

and routers, a set of router retrieval parameters, a default IP router; and the domain

name system server accesses the retrieval parameters in order to select the IP routes

[Lamberton, a single DNS name, group of server, col 4 line64-col 5 line 26].

17. As per claim 29, Lamberton discloses the client IP address corresponds to a

client and the set of server IP addresses correspond to respective servers, wherein the

set of IP routes comprises IP routes from the client to respective servers, and wherein

selecting the IP route comprises selecting the IP route corresponding to the server that

satisfies the predetermined criteria [Lamberton, met the criterion for being elected, col 6

lines 35-40; selected server carrying the actual DNS name as required, col 7 lines 11-

27, Fig 4].

18. As per claim 30, Lamberton discloses selecting the IP route to the server

associated with a shortest path from the client [Lamberton, select the least busy of the

servers, col 6 line 28].

Art Unit: 2616

- 19. As per claim 31, Lamberton discloses the assigning, receiving, retrieving, and selecting acts are performed by a domain name system (DNS) server [Lamberton, DNS, col 6 lines 6-67].
- 20. As per claim 32, Lamberton discloses retrieving a set of IP routes where each IP route is defined by at least two IP addresses [Lamberton, IP routes between client and server, col 5 lines 1-26].
- 21. As per claim 33, Lamberton discloses prior to retrieving the set of IP routes, checking a database in a cache to find an IP route entry containing an IP route previously indicated as being a best IP route; and in response to finding the IP route entry in the cache, using the IP route previously indicated as being the best IP route as the selected IP route [Lamberton, select the least busy of the servers, col 6 line 6-67].
- 22. As per claim 34, Lamberton discloses retrieving the set of IP routes is performed from an IP routes database, and wherein retrieving the set of IP routes from the IP routes database is in response to determining that the IP route entry is not present in the cache [Lamberton, database, col 1 lines 55-60].
- 23. As per claim 35, Lamberton discloses accessing a field in a record, the field to indicate one of plural techniques for downloading IP routes from routers to the DNS server; and based on the technique identified by the field, establish one or more

Art Unit: 2616

sessions with the routers to download IP routes from the routers into an IP routes database in the DNS server, wherein retrieving the set of IP routes is performed from the IP routes database [Lamberton, database, col 1 lines 55-60].

Page 7

- 24. As per claim 36, Lamberton discloses establishing one or more Border Gateway Protocol (BGP) sessions with the routers to download IP routes from the routers into the IP routes database, in response to the field indicating use of BGP retrieval as inherent feature of gateway, firewall.
- 25. As per claim 39, Lamberton discloses establishing one of plural different types of sessions corresponding to the one of plural techniques specified by the field to download IP routes from the routers into the IP routes database [Lamberton, different servers, col 6 line1].
- 26. Claims 15-17,19-24;40-48 and 25-28,49-53 contain similar limitations set forth in claims 1-4,6-12,14,29-36,39. Therefore claims 15-17,19-24;40-48 and 25-28,49-53 are rejected for the same rationale set forth in claims 1-4,6-12,14,29-36,39.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

<sup>(</sup>a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the

invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 5,13,18,37-38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lamberton et al [Lamberton, 6,779,017 B1] in view of Dynarski et al [Dynarski 6,272,129 B1].

27. As per claims 5,18 Lamberton discloses an Internet environment with firewall and group or set of servers [Lamberton, Fig 2].

However Lamberton does not explicitly detail "using an SNMP protocol".

In the same endeavor, Dynarski discloses a method for allocation wireless mobile nodes over Internet network including SNMP and Telnet [Dynarski, col 14 lines 1-7].

Therefore it would have been obvious to an ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the SNMP and Telnet services as taught by Dynarski into the Lamberton's apparatus in order to utilize the DART network interacts with other technologies. Doing so would provide a simple, efficient and automatic way of permitting the terminal on the IP network to initiate communication with the wireless device [Dynarski, col 2 lines 15-26].

28. As per claim 13, Lamberton-Dynarski disclose defining an enhanced address resource record, including a domain name, a list of corresponding servers and routers, router retrieval parameters, a default client/server IP route, and timeouts [Dynarski, IP link, col 8 lines 9-18; Dormant state, col 13 lines 4-8, domain, col 16 lines 50-67].

Art Unit: 2616

Page 9

29. As per claims 37, Lamberton-Dynarski disclose establishing one or more Simple Network Management Protocol (SNMP) sessions with the routers to download IP routes from the routers into the IP routes database, in response to the field indicating use of Management Information Base (MIB) [Dynarski, SNMP, col 14 lines 1-7].

30. As per claim 38, Lamberton-Dynarski disclose establishing one or more Telnet sessions with the routers to download IP routes from the routers into the IP routes database, in response to the field indicating use of Telnet retrieval [Dynarski, Telnet, col 14 lines 1-7].

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to examiner *Thong Vu*, whose telephone number is (571)-272-3904. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 6:00AM- 3:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, *Failed Lynn*, can be reached at (571) 272-2092. The fax number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Thong Vu Primary Examiner

THONG VU
PRIMARY EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

-1/I where